



STONE ENVIRONMENTAL

# High Resolution Site Characterization Tools and Approaches

December 2, 2015

Seth Pitkin

Federal Remedial Technologies Roundtable:  
Site Characterization for Effective Remediation

# The Problem

One cannot effectively solve a problem which one has not adequately and accurately described

Many Remedial Investigations continue for years or even decades

Many remedies underperform or fail due to a lack of understanding of site conditions and processes


The cost of these failed/underperforming remedies is large

The costs of excessive long term monitoring programs related to investigating sites with monitoring wells is large

The costs of adequate site characterization (currently referred to as High Resolution Site Characterization) which allows one to avoid failed remedies is small in comparison, but requires an up front investment to result in lower life cycle costs.

# History and Development of Contaminant Hydrogeology

## Historical Perspective – Water Supply



Aquifers are:


- Homogeneous
- Isotropic
- Infinite extent

Treated as a single bulk entity

- Transmissivity
- Storativity
- How much water can we get out of it?

# Development of (Contaminant) Hydrogeology


~130-Year Era of Homogeneity and Isotropy



## Key Point

Our science is a young one. Our thinking on solute transport is powerfully and inappropriately influenced by the first 150 years of the development of hydrogeology.

# Development of (Contaminant) Hydrogeology



## John Cherry – 1981

“In the early nineteen seventies, it became apparent that ... the approach used in the evaluation of contaminant migration in groundwater... involved direct adaptations of ...monitoring methods and ...models of the type traditionally used in groundwater resource studies. ...the behavior of groundwater flow systems is ... such that these direct adaptations are unsuitable or misleading because of the heterogeneous character of the geological deposits and/or the geochemical nature of the contaminant species.”

### Key Point

Our science is a young one. Our thinking on solute transport is powerfully and inappropriately influenced by the first 150 years of the development of hydrogeology.

# Development of (Contaminant) Hydrogeology



Charles V. Theis

C.V. Theis – 1967 “I consider it certain that we need a new conceptual model, containing the known heterogeneities of natural aquifers, to explain the phenomenon of transport in groundwater.”


## Key Point

**Our science is a young one. Our thinking on solute transport is powerfully and inappropriately influenced by the first 150 years of the development of hydrogeology.**

# HRSC Today

## Incorporation of major paradigms into CSM (e.g.)

- Heterogeneity and Anisotropy
- Awareness of spatial structures of key variables
- DNAPL
- Weak Transverse Dispersion
- Matrix diffusion/back diffusion
- Incorporation of geologic interpretation (e.g., sequence stratigraphy) in CSMs to provide framework for flow systems




## Collaborative use of tools

- Direct sensing for screening, NAPL detection
- Groundwater/hydrostratigraphy profiling in permeable zones
- Soil coring and sub core profiling for aquitard/low K material
- On site analytical chemistry

## Incorporation of the Triad Approach principles

- Dynamic work Strategies
- Real-time data
- Collaborative Data



# HRSC Addresses Two Critical Issues

## Sampling Scale and Data Averaging


- Measurements must be made at a scale that is meaningful with respect to the variability of the quantity being measured

## Coverage

- Profiles and Transects
- Horizontal spacing
- Vertical spacing




# Depth-Integrated, Flow Weighted Averaging




# High Resolution (more pixels): Sampling Scale and Averaging

1 x 1



2 x 2



10 x 10



20 x 20



50 x 50




100 x 100



# Sampling Coverage and Density: HRSC Wisdom Through the Ages



Pitkin



Cherry



Blake

**“You never know what is enough, unless  
you know what is more than enough”**


***William Blake***

## Key Point

The only way to know what degree of resolution you need is to look at a high level of resolution.

# How Much is Enough? What is Right Vertical Spacing?

## A Profile Through PCE Plume in Sandy Aquifer




### Key Point


The vertical spacing you use determines whether you understand the nature of the plume or not.

# Multi-Level Sampling Transect PCE in a Sandy Aquifer


Shallow,  
medium,  
deep



10-ft  
vertical  
spacing



0.8-ft  
vertical  
spacing



# mm-Scale Textural Changes Control DNAPL Migration

Poulsen & Kueper, 1992


~25 cm



Key  
Point

DNAPL distribution is controlled by capillary pressures that vary at the mm scale. Distribution is very complex.

# DNAPLs Commonly Encounter Aquitards



**Dissolved mass in the matrix pore water**

**Will the aquitard stop the DNAPL?**

*(Mackay and Cherry, 1989)*



## Double Wall, Sealable Joint Sheet Piling Cell Keyed into Aquitard

CFB Borden 9x9 m Cell


*Courtesy of Beth Parker*

# 9 x 9 Meter Cell Experiment CFB Borden

770 Liters DNAPL PCE  
Injected July 1991



DNAPL Distribution after 573 Hours




# Borden 9x9 m Cell Experiment




HSA Boring Outside Cell

***Uh Oh!***



*Courtesy of Beth Parker*

# Areal Distribution of DNAPL within Aquitard





Courtesy of Beth Parker

# Structure and Pore Fluids Intact

Small Scale Features are of Great Import

Sand microbed



DNAPL (red) migration  
in sand microbed



Courtesy of Beth Parker

# Essential Information from Cores

Geologic/hydrogeologic features


Physical, chemical & microbial properties

Contaminant mass distributions  
(high- & low-K zones)


Contaminant phase distributions  
(detection of DNAPL)

Concentration gradients/diffusive fluxes

Effectiveness of remedial technologies



# Soil Core Sampling - NAPL Detection




Courtesy of Beth Parker

# Example of NAPL Detection


## Sudan IV Screening



SudanIV test  
 ● positive  
 ○ negative



## Quantitative TCE Analyses




Courtesy of Beth Parker


# Groundwater Profiling - WaterlooAPS™

## Integrated Data Acquisition

- Physical Chemical Data
- Concentration Data
- Hydraulic Head Data
- Index of Hydraulic Conductivity Data



# Waterloo<sup>APSTM</sup> Configurations



**APS  
225**




**APS  
175**



**APS  
150**


# Waterloo<sup>APST</sup>™ Data Acquisition Configuration and Process



# Two Uses of $I_K$ Data


## Sample Depth Selection

Chlorobenzene ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )




$I_K$  – Index of Hydraulic Conductivity (unitless)

## Stratigraphic Interpretation




# Post-Remedy Investigation Northern England



## Key Point

Use of low resolution (conventional) techniques resulted in remedy failure and need for second remedy.


# NAS Jacksonville Investigations (July/August 2011)



## OU3 Building 106

- Former dry cleaner (1962 – 1990)
- PCE and TCE released to shallow aquifer
- Building removed
- Interim remedies (AS, SVE)
- 5-yr review (2005)
- Strong interest in evaluating MNA as long-term remedy

- Detailed study locations



# NAS Jacksonville: Characterization Methods

## Membrane Interface Probe (MIP) screening

- Rapid lithology (EC) and contaminant (ECD, PID) delineation – qualitative

## Waterloo<sup>APSTM</sup> (Advanced Profiler System)

- Real-time hydrostratigraphy
- Targeted groundwater sampling of higher K zones/interfaces

## Geoprobe® HPT (Hydraulic Profiling Tool)

- Real time hydrostratigraphy

## Continuous cores (Geoprobe® DT System)


- Detailed lithology delineation
- Subsampling for mass distribution (targeted to lower K zones)

## Onsite Laboratory


# Layout of Points at Each Investigation Location



# NAS Jacksonville Composite Dataset (OU3-3, Near Source)




# OU3-3: Soil and Groundwater Concentrations






# OU3-3: MIP (ECD and PID) and Soil Concentrations

## High Concentration Location: OU3-3







# Collocated Soil Cores Demonstrate Good Correlation



# MIP Provides Mass Location But Not Concentration Correlation

MIP: SOIL AT LOCATION OU3-3  
(HIGH CONCENTRATION)  
USING OPTIMIZED SOP

MIP: SOIL AT LOCATION OU3-6  
(LOW CONCENTRATION)  
USING OPTIMIZED SOP



# Conclusion

The purpose of Site Characterization is to understand the pertinent conditions adequately enough to devise an effective remedy.

- aka CSM

“Standard” approaches such as monitoring wells are not well suited to the development of such an adequate understanding

- Depth-integrated, flow weighted averaging
- Large life-cycle expense

Scale of sampling and data coverage (density) must be appropriate to the spatial structure of the variable under consideration

- Hydraulic conductivity, capillary pressure etc.

Leverage existing data and use screening technologies used to reduce costs associated with definitive sampling/analysis programs

Perhaps it is time to stop calling it “High Resolution” since it is really an adequate degree of resolution to understand the problem. It is simply Site Characterization.

## Acknowledgements

Beth Parker – University of Guelph

Dave Adamson – GSI

Steven Chapman – University of Guelph

Mike Singletary – NAVFAC