Use of In Situ Real Time Monitoring for Early Warning Systems at the SRS F-Area Seepage Basins and D-Area Coal Ash Basins

Presented by: Thomas Danielson

SRNL is managed and operated by Battelle Savannah River Alliance, LLC for the U. S. Department of Energy.



Savannah River Site Overview

SRS is a key DOE site responsible for environmental stewardship and cleanup, waste management, and disposition of nuclear materials.

- ~ 310 square miles (~803 square kilometers)
- Nuclear materials production history
 - \circ 5 nuclear materials production reactors
 - \circ 2 separations plants
 - Heavy water extraction plant
 - $\circ\,$ Nuclear fuel and target fabrication facility
 - $\circ~$ Solid and liquid waste disposition processes

• Environmental legacy

- o 130 million liters highly contaminated liquid
- $\circ\,$ 6 Fuel basins
- $\circ\,$ Decommissioned radiological facilities
- 515 radionuclide or chemically contaminated soil and groundwater waste sites
- \circ 5 coal fired power plants
- \circ Over 2 x 10⁶ m³ contaminated groundwater







Background – ALTEMIS

 Enhanced attenuation strategies have created the potential for secondary source terms (e.g., I-129, U, Sr-90) that will require continuous monitoring over the course of the next several decades to ensure compliance with regulatory requirements



Pump/Treat/Re-inject

Funnel-Gate/Base Injection

• "Zones of Vulnerability":

Zone of Vulnerability	Vulnerable Contaminants	Threat Conditions	Long-Term Monitoring Focus
Basin soils and vadose zone	All	Infiltration through cap	Cap integrity and moisture content
Treatment zones in gates	Uranium, Sr-90, I-129	Low pH (Sr-90, uranium) and reducing conditions (I-129)	pH, ORP, groundwater flow rate
Wetlands	Uranium, Sr-90, I-129	Low pH, significant change in wetland morphology, vegetation, loss of organic matter, etc.	pH, ORP, physical configuration (e.g., topography, course of Fourmile Branch, frequency of intense rain events)

Identification of Master Variables



Savannah River National Laboratory

Spatiotemporal Optimization of Sensor Locations





From: Wainwright, Meray, Upadhyay

-avout

Optimized

Spatiotemporal Optimization of Sensor Locations





Well Re-Configuration for Sensor Installation









Well Sensor Data Flow





Electrical Resistive Tomography (ERT) Overview

P1/P2 = Potential Electrode C1/C2 = Current Electrode





ERT Test Survey Results





ERT Final Setup





D-Area Ash Basins



Source: SRNS-RP-2021-03748



D-Area Ash Basins – Datasets

- 139 groundwater monitoring wells with data spanning mid 1980s to present day
 - Some wells were added/removed during the cleanup efforts
- 360 analytes
 - Geochemical measurements, heavy metal concentrations, radionuclides, chlorinated solvents, other organic and inorganic compounds
- Historical investigations identifying extent of contamination for closure and remediation activities
 - Example: SREL's Ecotoxicology Program investigated the impacts of ash basin contaminants on organisms that occupy the basins **D-Area**





metabolic rates in bullfrog tadpoles from the ash basin were 40-70% greater than tadpoles from control areas indicating that ash basin tadpoles must use much more o their energy just to survive than do tadpoles from reference

levels of circulating adrenal and sex hormones, which may be indicative of animals subjected to prolonged exposure to endocrine disrupting contaminants,

Potentiometric Surface Upper Three Runs





D-Area Ash Basins – Hydrostratigraphy





D-Area Ash Basins – Beryllium



Figure D-12. Beryllium Concentrations in the Upper Three Runs and Gordon Aquifer Units, 2Q2020



D-Area Ash Basins – Beryllium



Figure D-16. D-Area Groundwater Cross-Section C-C' for Beryllium, 2Q2020



Correlations – Specific Conductance

Correlation with SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE





Correlations – Close Proximity Wells

2000 ARSENIC (ug/L) 1500 1000 DCB 21A and DCB 36A 500 500 feet apart CADMIUM (ug/L) 75 50 25 Well screens are at the • 600 CHROMIUM (ug/L) 400 same elevation and have 200 the same matrix materials MANGANESE (ug/L) 30000 20000 Different measurements of • 10000 analytes MERCURY (ug/L) 250 (ng/L) 200 150 **DCB 37A** STATION_NAME 100 DCB087A DCB 37C DCB087D DCB 37D DCB 21A 600 500 400 300 **DCB 36A** (mV) DCB077 DCB 9.00 DCB 7 200 🖾 DCB 50000 DCB 22A 40000 DSWM 21A 40000 30000 20000 10000 **DGB 22B** CB 21B 10000 DCB. **DCB** 49 DC8 CPRB CB.10 DCB 70B DCB 23A **DCB 50** Hd **DCB 23B** (489-D) DC8 23C DSV M 4A DCB 5A 10000 DC8 23D DCB 36A sPEC CON (uS/cm) \odot 7500 DCB 36G DCB 5000 2500 DCB 34A DSWM-48 15 TURBIDIT (NTU) DCB 35A DCB 34C 10 -5 DCB 35C 0 DC8035D' 18 T_DEPTH (ft) 15 -88-2D 12 -2000-01-01 2005-01-01 2010-01-01 2015-01-01 2020-01-01 COLLECTION DATE



Conclusions

- Environmental datasets can be complicated to work with, but AI/ML/big data analytics provide an opportunity to create easy-to-comprehend geochemical conceptual models
- Installation of sensor networks around zones of vulnerability enhances environmental datasets and improves robustness of models
- End Result: A more proactive and cost-effective approach to long-term monitoring and cleanup activities

Acknowledgements

- ALTEMIS Team (<u>Home ALTEMIS (Ibl.gov</u>)) (DOE-EM funding)
- D-Area:
 - Jeff Summers (DOE-FECM funding)
 - Carol Eddy-Dilek
 - Elizabeth Labone
 - Emily Fabricatore
 - Brian Looney
 - Hansell Gonzalez-Raymat





Managed and operated by Battelle Savannah River Alliance, LLC for the U.S. Department of Energy.

srnl.doe.gov





Motivation and Objectives

- SRNL has been exploring new long-term monitoring strategies for many years
 - Shift from a *reactive* monitoring strategy to a *proactive* monitoring strategy
 - Reduce costs by up to 90%
- Major ongoing field demonstration at the SRS F-Area Seepage Basins (ALTEMIS)
 - Primarily concentrated on long-term monitoring of radionuclide contaminants

Objectives

- Explore the use of techniques developed as part of ALTEMIS applied to coal ash contaminated sites
 - Identify the master variables associated with contaminant transport using data analytics and AI/ML techniques
- Identify additional historical datasets that may be incorporated (e.g., ecology studies)



D-Area Ash Basins

• **484-D Powerhouse**: Built in 1953 and operated until 2012

- ~160,000 tons of coal per year
- Deactivation of the D-Area Powerhouse and associated facilities began in 2012
- **488-2D Ash Basin**: Dewatered, coal ash contaminated soils were excavated and consolidated in 488-4D Ash Landfill. Ultimately left open as stormwater retention pond.
- 488-4D Ash Landfill: Geosynthetic cap installed over contaminated soils.
- 488-1D Ash Basin: Dewatered, coal ash contaminated soils were excavated and consolidated in the eastern end. Geosynthetic cap installed over contaminated soils. West end kept open as a stormwater retention pond.
- **489-D Coal Pile Runoff Basin**: Clean closure, contaminated soils excavated and placed in eastern portion of 488-1D Ash Basin.
- Groundwater monitoring continues around the facility to ensure geosynthetic covers remain effective.



D-Area Ash Basins





Potentiometric Surface Gordon Aquifer Unit





Correlations – Close Proximity Wells



Examples of Mixed Results

- Agreement between correlations e.g., Mn vs pH
- Strong correlations, but positive vs negative e.g., Cr vs pH
- Low/No correlation e.g., Se vs pH
- Strong vs weak AND positive vs negative: Se vs Specific Conductance



Correlations – Water Table Elevation

Correlation with DEPTH_TO_WATER





Ongoing/Future Work

- Isolate controlling variables for contaminants of interest
 - PCA, correlation matrices, etc. to identify which geochemical analytes are correlated with contaminant concentrations
- Identify wells that allow best characterization of the contaminants over time (e.g., using Gaussian Process method)
- Apply Kalman filter technique to estimate contaminant concentrations
- Feasibility of Linking Historical D-Area Investigations with Groundwater Data
 - Literature search to identify if additional datasets exist and how they might be used



Long-Term Monitoring Paradigm as Applied to the F-Area Seepage Basins

In Situ Real-time Monitoring For Early Warning Systems (SRNL, LBNL)

In situ sensors and In situ monitoring technologies for monitoring master variables

Spatially Integrative Monitoring: Surface Cap Systems Monitoring (PNNL)

 Geophysical monitoring of the integrity of the surface cap is critical to reduce infiltration into source zones containing residual contaminant

Spatially Integrative Monitoring: Wetland Monitoring (LBNL)

- State of the-of-art spatially integrative techniques for monitoring groundwater and wetland including UAV spectral methods
- Fiber optic sensors for temperature and conductivity

Geochemical Characterization and Monitoring (SRNL, CRESP, MSIPP)

 Mitigation of geochemical conditions that could reverse contaminant attenuation, or the contaminant release that might occur over decades or even centuries.

Goal is to create a site specific comprehensive monitoring system that will improve effectiveness, while significantly reducing overall cost. Goal is to transition approaches to other EM/LM sites.



ERT Test Survey Setup





ERT Data Flow





D-Area Ash Basins – pH



Figure D-20. D-Area Groundwater Cross-Section C-C' for pH, 2Q2020





1,000

0